

# Interactions for odd- $\omega$ gap singlet superconductors

Elihu Abrahams

*Serin Physics Laboratory, Rutgers University, P.O. Box 849, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855*

Alexander Balatsky

*Theory Division, T-11, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545  
and Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia.*

J.R. Schrieffer

*Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32310*

Philip B. Allen

*Department of Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794-3800*

A class of singlet superconductors with a gap function  $\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n)$  which is *odd* in both momentum and Matsubara frequency was proposed recently [1]. To show an instability in the *odd* gap channel, a model phonon propagator was used with the *p*-wave interaction strength larger than the *s*-wave. We argue that the positive scattering matrix element entering the Eliashberg equations leads to a constraint on the relative strength of *p*- and *s*-wave interactions which inhibits odd pairing. However, a general spin dependent electron-electron interaction can satisfy all constraints and produce the odd singlet gap. A possibility which may lead to an odd gap is a strongly antiferromagnetically correlated system, such as a high- $T_c$  material.

PACS Nos. 74.20-z; 74.65+n; 74.30 Ci

Balatsky and Abrahams [1] recently proposed a class of singlet superconductors which exhibit unconventional symmetry of the pairing order parameter  $\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n)$ , where  $\omega_n$  is the Matsubara frequency. While  $\Delta$  for conventional superconductors is an even function of frequency, the class is one in which  $\Delta$  is odd in  $\omega_n$  and, as a consequence of the Pauli principle, odd under parity as well:  $\Delta(-\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = -\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n)$ . Thus, this new class can have spin singlet  $p$ -wave pairing in contrast to the triplet  $p$ -wave pairing which occurs in  $^3\text{He}$  with a gap which is even in  $\omega_n$ .

In this paper we show that a stable odd (i.e. odd in  $\omega_n$ ) singlet pairing is unlikely to occur for a spin-independent effective potential, e.g. a phonon interaction. This is because renormalization effects reduce the dressed  $p$ -wave coupling below the threshold value for  $T_c > 0$ , regardless how strong the bare coupling is. However, this difficulty can be overcome if spin-dependent terms are added to the interaction, such as may occur in high- $T_c$  superconductors because of antiferromagnetic fluctuations, or other strongly correlated systems. Whether this situation is realized in nature remains unclear.

We first consider a phonon model

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \sigma} g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} c_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} (a_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'} + a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \omega_{\mathbf{q}} N_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $a_{\mathbf{k}} + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$  is the phonon coordinate. At  $T = T_c$ , the Eliashberg equations for spin singlet odd  $l$  pairing [e.g.  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega_n) = \Delta_1(\omega_n) \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{d}}$  for  $p$ -wave pairing] are:

$$\Delta_l(\omega_n) = \pi T \sum_{n'} \frac{K_l(\omega_n - \omega_{n'})}{|Z(\omega_n)| |\omega_{n'}|} \Delta_l(\omega_{n'}) \quad (2)$$

$$Z(\omega_n) = 1 + \frac{1}{\omega_n} \pi T \sum_{n'} K_0(\omega_n - \omega_{n'}) \frac{\omega_{n'}}{|\omega_{n'}|}. \quad (3)$$

The interaction kernels are defined by

$$K_l(\omega_n - \omega_{n'}) = N_0 \int d\mu P_l(\mu) g_\mu^2 \frac{2\Omega_\mu}{(\omega_n - \omega_{n'})^2 + \Omega_\mu^2}. \quad (4)$$

We have set  $|\mathbf{k}| = |\mathbf{k}'| = k_F$  and defined  $\mu = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' / k_F^2$ .  $P_l$  is the Legendre polynomial.

For  $\Delta_l$  even in  $\omega_n$ ,  $T_c$  is nonzero regardless of how small  $K_l$  is, so long as  $K_l$  is positive (attractive), i.e. the Cooper instability. As discussed in [1], for the odd case, only the part of  $K_l(\omega_n - \omega_{n'})$  which is odd in  $\omega_n$  enters; this suppresses the density of states near the Fermi surface by a factor  $\omega_n^2$  (one power from  $K$  and one from  $\Delta_l$ ) requiring a finite value of the interaction for  $T_c$  to be nonzero.

At first sight, it would appear that one could choose  $g_0^2$  to be small so that  $Z \simeq 1$ ; then by increasing  $g_{l>0}^2$  above threshold,  $T_c > 0$  can be obtained. However, we note that the derivability of  $K$  from the phonon Hamiltonian requires, because of the positivity of the phonon spectral function, that

$$K_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}(\omega_n - \omega_{n'}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\mu) K_l(\omega_n - \omega_{n'}) \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

For example, if only  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  are non zero, then

$$|K_1| < |K_0|/3. \quad (6)$$

In this case,  $T_c \equiv 0$  since the effective interaction  $K_1^{eff} = K_1/Z = K_1/(1+K_0)$  must be larger than 1 for  $T_c > 0$  [1]. However, from Eq. (6) it follows that  $K_1^{eff} < (K_0/3)/(1+K_0) < 1/3$  [2].

To avoid this difficulty, we consider a general electron-electron coupling. In that case, the low-energy behavior will be determined by an effective interaction which is retarded and spin dependent. Explicitly, we introduce a general spin- and frequency-dependent coupling

$$\gamma(\alpha k; \beta k' | \gamma p; \delta p') = \gamma^c(k-p) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\gamma\delta} + \gamma^s(k-p) \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^i \sigma_{\gamma\delta}^i, \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  etc. are spin indexes;  $k, p$  etc. are 4-vectors, three of which are independent.

In this case, the Eliashberg equations in the spin singlet  $l$ -wave channel become

$$\Delta_l(\omega_n) = -\pi T \sum_{n'} [\gamma_l^c(\omega_n - \omega_{n'}) - 3\gamma_l^s(\omega_n - \omega_{n'})] \frac{\Delta_l(\omega_{n'})}{|Z(\omega_n)| |\omega_{n'}|}, \quad (8)$$

$$Z(\omega_n) = 1 + \pi T \sum_{n'} [\gamma_0^c(\omega_n - \omega_{n'}) + 3\gamma_0^s(\omega_n - \omega_{n'})] \frac{\omega_{n'}}{\omega_n |\omega_{n'}|}. \quad (9)$$

The change of sign of the  $\gamma^s$  interaction in Eqs. (8,9) provides the possibility of density and spin couplings adding in the pairing channel yet opposing each other in the normal self energy channel, so that, as we shall see below,  $Z$  remains  $\sim 1$ .

In addition, there is no apparent restriction on the  $\gamma^{c,s}$  analogous to Eq. (5) for a general four-point vertex. Therefore,  $T_c > 0$  for odd  $\Delta_l$  may be realized for systems having strong spin-dependent interactions.

For example, within the RPA for the Hubbard model,

$$\gamma^{c(s)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega_n - \omega_{n'}) = \pm \frac{U/2}{1 \pm U\chi_0(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega_n - \omega_{n'})}, \quad (10)$$

where plus and minus signs correspond to charge ( $c$ ) and spin ( $s$ ) channels. In the high- $T_c$  materials, it is observed experimentally that  $\gamma^s$  is enhanced for  $\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' \simeq Q = (\pm\pi, \pm\pi)$ . This condition corresponds to backscattering. The  $p$ -wave part of  $\gamma^{s(c)}$  in 2D is

$$\gamma_1^{s(c)}(\omega_n - \omega_{n'}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos \theta d\theta \gamma^{s(c)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega_n - \omega_{n'}), \quad (11)$$

while the  $s$ -wave part is

$$\gamma_0^{s(c)}(\omega_n - \omega_{n'}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \gamma^{s(c)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega_n - \omega_{n'}). \quad (12)$$

Since  $\gamma^s$  is negative for all  $\theta$  but is peaked for  $\theta \sim \pi$ , where  $\cos \theta = -1$ , it follows that  $\gamma_1^s > 0$  while  $\gamma_0^s < 0$ . On the other hand  $\gamma_0^c > 0$  and we expect that  $\gamma_1^c$  is small.

Here, because of the frequency summations in Eqs.(8-9), only the odd in  $\omega_n, \omega_{n'}$  parts of  $\gamma^{c(s)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega_n - \omega_{n'})$  enter the Eliashberg equations. Now consider the strong-correlation regime in the presence of the shadow upper and lower Hubbard bands. Then  $\partial\Sigma(\omega_n)/\partial\omega_n > 0$  over most of the frequency range up to  $\omega_n \sim U$  [3], in contrast to the conventional Fermi liquid in which  $\partial\Sigma(\omega_n)/\partial\omega_n < 0$ . Consequently for those frequencies high enough to be relevant for odd pairing,  $Z(\omega_n) = 1 - \Sigma(\omega_n)/\omega_n \sim 1$ . This is sufficient to have  $Z$  of order unity while still having an attraction in the  $p$ -wave spin-singlet pairing channel.

Under the circumstances being considered here, standard BCS  $s$ -wave singlet pairing is impossible because the interaction is repulsive in that channel.

We note that the condition  $Z > 1$  is required for stability. This has been recently reemphasized [4]. Contrary to the claim of this reference, however, our discussion obviously nowhere implies that  $Z < 1$  is a necessary condition for odd-frequency pairing. In fact, the arguments of Ref. 4 are irrelevant to the issue of odd-frequency pairing.

We mention that a recent Monte Carlo simulation study for the Cooper-pair  $t$ -matrix in the two-dimensional Hubbard model [5] contains results which indicate the possibility of odd-frequency pairing. These calculations show that the dominant singlet pair eigenvalues occur in the  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  (even-gap) and  $p$ -wave (odd-gap) channels.

In summary, we have shown that pairing by phonons is unlikely to give the odd gap singlet superconductor discussed in [1]. We have shown how a general electron-electron interaction can mediate such pairing and have given a concrete example of how this can work in the context of high- $T_c$  superconductivity, i.e. for the Hubbard model in 2D.

This work was partially supported by grant NSF-DMR 89-18307 (JRS), by a J.R. Oppenheimer Fellowship and the Department of Energy (AVB), by grant NSF-DMR 89-06958 (EA), by the Advanced Studies Program of the Center for Materials Science at Los Alamos National Laboratory and by grant NSF-DMR 91-18414 (PBA).

We thank D.J. Scalapino and P. Wölfle for valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.V. Balatsky and E. Abrahams, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13125 (1992).
- [2] A complete proof of this result is available, P.B. Allen, unpublished.
- [3] A.P. Kampf and J.R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 7967 (1990).
- [4] O.V. Dolgov and V.V. Losyakov, Phys. Lett. A **190**, 189 (1994).
- [5] N. Bulut, D.J. Scalapino and S.R. White, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 6157 (1993)